

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/619,219	07/19/2000	Steven R. Bard	INTL-0417-US (P9042)	1192
7:	590 11/13/2002			
Timothy N Trop Trop Pruner & Hu PC 8554 Katy Freeway Suite 100			EXAMINER	
			BARBEE, MANUEL L	
Houston, TX	77024		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2857	/
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/619,219	BARD, STEVEN R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Manuel L. Barbee	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail the same of the same o	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the approprunt of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Off	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).		, 2.2
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	·	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would lead canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	lered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and vor appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-13 and 15-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 0. Other:	SUPE	MARC S. HOFF RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	R
Patent and Trademark Office			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that no reference suggests providing power class information in particular. Oprescu et al. teach providing power requirements (col. 6, lines 27-41; col. 7, line 11 - col. 8, line 65). Applicant's disclosure states that the power class may be in terms of voltage and current (page 7, lines 15-20). Oprescu et al. discuss the power requirements in terms of watts (col. 8, lines 1-41). So the power requirements provided in Oprescu et al. meet the limitations of power class. Of course, power requirement information could be provided in terms of load and current, or load and voltage. Oprescu et al. do not particularly teach making a request for information, which, as demonstrated in the previous Office Action, is well known. Oprescu et al. do teach receiving power requirements or power class information.